

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/996,206

Applicant(s)

VADERS, DENNIS H.

Examiner

Sam Chuan C. Yao

Art Unit

1733

**All Participants:**(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.(2) Mr. Joseph Berenato, III.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 18 October 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claims 1, 13, and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

art of record

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested for counsel to delete "and moisture" from claim 23 to obviate a possible introduction of new matter. Examiner also suggested for Counsel to amend claims 1 and 13 by requiring each of these claims to form a softboard "in the absence of heat to avoid curing of the binder resin" to place the application in condition for allowance. Counsel agreed. .